

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,360	KAN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jack M. Choules	2167	

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Jack M. Choules.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert C. Kowert.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-8, 12, 13, 18-19, 25, and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims mentioned were listed as both rejected and allowed in the previous office action, these claims should stand rejected and be argued as such by the applicant. Note: this was a message left on the answering machine of the applicants representative.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)